period of three years to meet actual wages and salaries outgo as per audited account in respect of employees posted at Srinagar Watch Unit

(c) HMT has been operating Voluntary Retirement Scheme (VRS) from time to time for rightsizing manpower. With the amount of Rs. 469 crore raised through VRS Bonds, HMT has relieved 6959 employees during 2000-01 and 2001-02 under VRS.

Installed capacity of CCI Ltd.

3546. SHRIMATI VANGA GEETHA: Will the Minister of HEAVY INDUSTRIES AND PUBLIC ENTERPRISES be pleased to state:

(a) the total installed capacity of Cement Corporation of India Ltd.;

(b) the production during the last three years;

(c) whether some of its closed plants have resumed operation; and

(d) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HEAVY INDUSTRIES AND PUBLIC ENTERPRISES (DR. VALLABHBHAI RAMJIBHAI KATHIRIA,: (a) The total installed capacity of Cement Corporation of India Limited (CCI) is 38.48 lakh MT.

(b) The year-wise cement production for the last three years is:—

Year	Production
1999-2000	6.56 Lakh MT
2000-2001	2.79 lakh MT
2001-2002	6.08 lakh MT

(c) and (d) One of the CCI's plants, Tandur in Andhra Pradesh, which was shut down in April, 2000, was recommissioned in May, 2001 on trial basis and regular production started in September/October, 2001.

Performance of heavy industries

3547. SHRI SURESH PACHOURI: Will the Minister of HEAVY INDUSTRIES AND PUBLIC ENTERPRISES be pleased to state:

(a) how far the heavy industries sector has performed during the Ninth Five Year Plan;

(b) whether the performance was satisfactory;

(c) if so, in what manner; and

(d) the measures proposed to be taken for better performance of the core sector industries during the Tenth Five Year Plan?

THE MINISTER OF STATE 1NTHE MINISTRY OF HEAVY INDUSTRIES AND PUBLIC ENTERPRISES (DR. VALLABHBHA1 RAMJIBHAI KATHIRIA): (a) to (c) There is no formal classification of industry as "Heavy Industry'. However, so far as Public Sector Enterprises (PSEs) under Department of Heavy Industry, they have achieved an aggregate growth of 10 per cent in the production during the Ninth Plan period as per PSE wise details annexed as a Statement (See below).

(d) The measures/strategies for better performance of PSEs under Department of Heavy Industry *inter-alia* includes revival of PSEs through BIFR, financial restructuring wherever appropriate, manpower rationalization, closure of unviable PSEs and joint venture formation to have continuous access to technology, finance, marketing and management.

Statement

Production performance of Public Sector Enterprises under the Department of Heavy Industry

(Rupees in Crores)

SI. No.	Name of PSE	1996-97 (Actual)	1997-98 (Actual)	1998-99 (Actual)	1999-2000 (Actual)	2000-01 (Actual)	2001-02 (Flash Results)
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	AY&CO	238.00	243.21	241.60	196.57	167.02	122.21
2	Hooghly Printing	2.61	2.21	2.28	3.14	3.32	7.03
3	BHEL	5755.00	6471.00	6795.00	6634.00	6348.00	7257.00
4	BSCL	245.27	249.60	272.41	217.71	235.02	118.79
5	BBVL	10.52	12.90	8.47	6.61	2.09	0.33
6	RBL	2.37	2.68	4.19	2.88	2.48	*
7	JESSOP	62.80	47.24	68.08	48.26	53.48	59.76
8	BRAITHWAITE	143.46	161.87	161.74	118.59	147.11	68.87
9	BWEL	104.20	113.49	100.39	57.41	115.18	80.88
10	BPME*'	4.78	0.72	0.10	*	*	*
11	WIL**	0.48	0.55	0.36	0.30	0.11	*
12	BBJ	17.12	25.69	22.37	24.18	37.51	36.70

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
13	BHPV	305.68	281.62	209.58	140.01	264.27	267.15
14	BPCL	76.37	74.97	45.09	42.53	59.51	67.28
15	R&C	69.56	75.17	90.93	73.51	74.90	65.98
16	TSL	70.22	60.56	30.96	16.57	13.70	27.48
17	TSP	30.90	35.33	25.39	40.93	37.42	18.99
18	B&R	237.29	279.86	335.84	324.45	334.49	346.10
19	CCIL**	0.20	0.07	0.39	0.40	*	*
20	HCL	357.62	277.80	220.99	803.51	875.08	531.04
21	HE.C	263.25	260.87	271.61	273.42	147.19	159.05
22	HMT (HIdg. Co.)	909.36	890.14	810.12	718.18	296.57	217.68
23	HMT(MT)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	200.95	230.33
24	HMT (Watch)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	144.08	79.05
25	HMT(Chinar Watches	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.74	2.19
26	PTL	4.44	13.64	12.02	14.06	44.00	3.98
27	HMT(B)	46.32	40.71	41.98	47.36	45.00	41.68
28	HMT(I)	46.16	49.39	39.49	40.89	45.79	57.24
29	1L	. 123.28	130.62	126.88	124.48	106.74	97.55
30	REIL	16.94	21.01	19.86	20.33	27.45	28.50
31	MAMC**	25.59	14.73	8.02	8.98	3.91	*
32	NBCIL**	0.27	0.81	0.56	0.76	*	*
33	NIL	2.59	2.60	2.51	3.01	5.63	594
34	SIL	123.68	127.59	125.91	132.05	116.79	134.19
3 5	BOGL	3.86	3.59	2.20	3.07	3.71	3.11
3 6	CCI	403.37	232.48	209.70-	134.88	71.99	139.09
3 7	HPC	353.34	322.01	347.01	393.54	468.83	520.32
38	NPPC	0.00	*	*	*	*	*
39	HNL	154.72	176.42	238.86	195.77	254.02	242.73
40	HPF	15.64	49.78	48.65	47.99	23.67	29.04
41	HSL	5.56	3.39	4.59	3.82	5.10	5.24
42	SSL	6.33	6.03	6.53	5.17	3.83	8.07
43	NEPA	40.71	65.62	96.95	97.97	131.75	99.80
44	RIC**	4.97	5.91	1.68	0.89	*	*

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
45	TAFCO**	0.41	0.48	0.07	*	»	•
46	TCIL	110.34	102.36	118.76	127.03	93.57	64.98
4?	BLC	7.40	6.80	1.85	1.74	2.09	*
48	EPJ	155.04	184.66	180.92	171.05	260.97	396.81
49	NIDC	9.80	3.86	2.80	6.85	**4.28**	0.78
	TOTAL:	10567.82	11132.04	11355.69	11324.85	11280.34	11642.94

*Not in production/operation for full year.

"^Establishments of the company have been closed.

Toning up the financial health of PSUs

3548. SHRI SURESH PACHOUR1: Will the Minister of HEAVY INDUSTRIES AND PUBLIC ENTERPRISES be pleased to state:

(a) the details of measures taken by Government to tone up the financial health of the Public Sector Undertakings;

(b) how far these have helped in improving the financial performance of the public sector enterprises;

(c) how far the management of these enterprises is accountable for their better performance;

(d) whether any accountability-has been fixed; and

(e) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HEAVY INDUSTRIES AND PUBLIC ENTERPRISES (DR. VALLABHBHA1 RAMJIBHAI KATHIRIA): (a) and (b) The performance improvement is a continuous process and enterprise specific steps are taken by the administrative Ministries/Departments and Management of the Public Sector Enterprises (PSEs) from time to time. Some of the steps taker^being taken are managerial and financial, restructuring, formation of joint ventures, upgradation of technology, modernization of plants and machinery, cost control measures, rationalization of manpower, improved marketing strategies etc. as the case may be. No specific study has been made to access the impact of specific measures taken.

(c) to (e) The Board of Directors of a company is fully accountable for the affairs of the company. There are various methods of fixing accountability.